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Appeal 178  
-  Emily Goodman shared the online appeals voting results: 1-2-5.  
  ○  Michael Rivera motioned to vote on the appeal with a modified move out date of August 23, 2015.  
    ▪  Mary Beth Ward seconded the motion.  
    •  Appeal approved with modified move out date, with 7-0-0 vote.  

Appeal 182  
-  Emily Goodman shared the online appeals voting results: 0-7-1.  
  ○  Lisa Dieu motioned to vote.  
    ▪  Mary Beth Ward seconded the motion.  
    •  Appeal denied with 0-7-0 vote.  

Appeal 185  
-  Emily Goodman shared the online appeals voting results: 0-8-1.  
  ○  Mary Beth Ward motioned to vote.  
    ▪  Lisa Dieu seconded the motion.  
    •  Appeal denied with 0-7-0 vote.  

Appeal 187  
-  Emily Goodman shared the online appeals voting results: 1-5-3.  
  ○  Mary Beth Ward motioned to vote.  
    ▪  Lisa Dieu seconded the motion.  
    •  Appeal denied with 0-5-2 vote.
Appeal 190
- Emily Goodman shared the online appeals voting results: 2-6-2.
  - Mary Beth Ward motioned to vote.
    - Lisa Dieu seconded the motion.
      - Appeal denied with 0-7-0 vote.

Appeal 191
- Emily Goodman shared the online appeals voting results: 1-6-2.
  - Mary Beth Ward motioned to vote.
    - Cory Stevenson seconded the motion.
      - Appeal denied with 0-5-2 vote.

Appeal 192
- Emily Goodman shared the online appeals voting results: 1-3-5.
  - Cory Stevenson motioned to vote on the appeal with a modified move out date of December 31, 2013.
    - Michael Rivera seconded the motion.
      - Appeal approved with modified move out date, with 6-0-1 vote.

Appeal 194
- Emily Goodman shared the online appeals voting results: 0-7-2.
  - Cory Stevenson motioned to vote.
    - Lisa Dieu seconded the motion.
      - Appeal denied with 0-7-0 vote.

Appeal 196
- Emily Goodman shared the online appeals voting results: 0-8-1.
  - Cory Stevenson motioned to vote.
    - Lisa Dieu seconded the motion.
      - Appeal denied with 0-7-0 vote.

Appeal 197
- Emily Goodman shared the online appeals voting results: 1-5-3.
  - Lisa Dieu motioned to vote.
    - Mary Beth Ward seconded the motion.
      - Appeal denied with 0-5-2 vote.

Appeal 201
- Emily Goodman shared the online appeals voting results: 3-3-2.
  - Michael Rivera motioned to vote.
    - Mary Beth Ward seconded the motion.
      - Appeal approved with 5-0-2 vote.

Next Meeting
- Mark Cunningham asked the committee if the next meeting can be postponed until October, since September is a busy time for everyone.
  - There were no objections from the committee.
Room Layout Mock ups
  ○ Lynn Anderson put together some color palettes, based on the preferences indicated by the committee, the last time she visited. She passed them around the room.

Meeting adjourned. The next meeting will be on Thursday, October 10, 2013, at 2:30pm, in the Rita Atkinson 3rd Floor Conference Room.
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Appeal 212  
- Mark Cunningham shared the online appeals voting results: 0-7-2.  
  o Lisa Dieu motioned to vote.  
    ▪ Mary Beth Ward seconded the motion.  
      • Appeal denied with 0-9-0 vote.  

Appeal 216  
- Mark Cunningham shared the online appeals voting results: 0-6-1.  
  o Lisa Dieu motioned to vote.  
    ▪ Rochelle Lorkovic seconded the motion.  
      • Appeal denied with 0-9-0 vote.  

Appeal 219  
- Mark Cunningham shared the online appeals voting results: 0-3-3.  
  o Cory Stevenson motioned to table the appeal until more information is received from the Housing Office.  
    ▪ Appeal tabled with 0-9-0 vote.  

Appeal 220  
- Mark Cunningham shared the online appeals voting results: 2-1-6.  
  o Lisa Dieu motioned to vote.  
    ▪ Sophie Hirakis seconded the motion.  
      • Appeal approved with 8-0-1 vote.  

Appeal 221
- Mark Cunningham shared the online appeals voting results: 0-3-3.
  - Lisa Dieu motioned to vote.
    - Mary Beth Ward seconded the motion.
      - Appeal denied with 0-6-3 vote.

Appeal 222
- Mark Cunningham shared the online appeals voting results: 0-5-1.
  - Lisa Dieu motioned to vote.
    - Mary Beth Ward seconded the motion.
      - Appeal denied with 0-9-0 vote.

Appeal 224
- Mark Cunningham shared the online appeals voting results: 1-4-1.
  - Kim Ciero motioned to vote.
    - Mary Beth Ward seconded the motion.
      - Appeal denied with 2-6-1 vote.

Appeal 225
- Mark Cunningham shared the online appeals voting results: 0-5-3
  - Cory Stevenson motioned to vote.
    - Lisa Dieu seconded the motion.
      - Appeal denied with 0-7-2 vote.

Appeal 209
- Mark Cunningham shared the online appeals voting results: 0-3-3.
  - Lisa Dieu motioned to vote.
    - Mary Beth Ward seconded the motion.
      - Appeal denied with 0-6-3 vote.

Meeting adjourned. The next meeting location and time is TBD. Committee will be contacted with the next meeting date and time, via email.
ARCHAC - 10/31/13

Members present:
Cory Stevenson (proxy for Michael Rivera)
Emily Goodman
Kim Ciero
Lindsay Freeman
Lisa Dieu
Mark Cunningham
Rafael Acevedo
Rebecca Otten
Rochelle Lorkovic
Russell King
Shana Slebioda
Sophia Hirakis (proxy for Ruichen Sun)
Taneisha Higgins

Guest:
Jon Monk – GSA VP Campus Affairs

1. Café Vita – Mark shared history of Café Vita and MOU with HDH and GSA. Jon shared his role/involvement with Café Vita. HDH installed electronic lock. GSA and HDH had kickoff event on 10/11/13. Jon would like the space to be accessible to all graduate students. Jon is requesting 20 key cards to issue to non-residents. Sophia asked about providing access to all graduate students with their student id cards. This is not something that will be pursued at this time. Mark confirmed the office can issue cards to graduate students if this committee is comfortable providing access to non-residents. Lisa moved to approve. Cory seconded the motion to allow non-residents to have access to Café Vita. Vote 10-0-0.

Lindsay and Jon asked about the alcohol policy. Is the space considered to be a community room where people can bring their own alcohol? Rebecca shared that in the past PD considered the space to be a community room and people could bring in their own alcohol. Rebecca would like to re-confirm with PD since the space is being re-opened.

Mark would like to get a small group together to update the MOU.

Sophia moved to refer remaining items to subcommittee. Rafael seconded the motion. Vote 8-0-2.

2. Resident complaint regarding appeals process - Kim moved to have Emily write a letter explaining the process. Rafael seconded the motion. Vote 8-0-2. Emily will draft a letter for review and upload it to the Box by Tuesday.

3. Pending items – Mark reviewed list of items on agenda. Sophia would like to add community assistants to the agenda. Cory would like to add exterior pesticide policy and SHORE. Emily would like to add speeding at Mesa. Kim and Rafael would like to add more grad housing; Rafael added to discuss including a space for international students. Top 3 priorities will be pet, safety, and child care.
Association Residential Community Housing (ARCH) Advisory Committee
October 10, 2013
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Appeal 232
- Don motioned to vote.
  - Taneshia seconded the motion.
    ▪ Appeal denied with 0-8-1 vote.

Presentation by Brandon, Don, and Rochelle on the 2013 UCSD Student Parent Survey Results
- Brandon shared that this survey was administered by himself, Rochelle, and Don. It was administered from August 12, 2013 to November 1, 2013 and was available in five languages. The questions were based off the 2009 Student Parent Survey and a total of 140 surveys were collected. The 140 students were either current or expecting parents. In 2009, only graduate students were surveyed. For 2013, both undergraduate and graduate students were surveyed.
- Of the surveyed students, a majority of the graduate students indicated they are in the following areas of study: social sciences and engineering. For the undergraduate students, the majority indicated that they are in social sciences.
- Sophia asked if the annual income reported was joint income or individual income.
  - Brandon said that the data was representative of the annual household income.
- 70% had one child and 30% had more than one child. In the graduate population, a large percentage had children under 18 months.
- Of the total surveyed, 70-80% of people had children under 5 years old. Brandon pointed out that this is important because this shows that a majority of the student parents on campus have at least one child in need of childcare.
- He shared that roughly 16% of graduate students on campus are utilizing campus care.
  - Sophia asked if the UC childcare options were considered “affordable.”
    ▪ Don said no.
    ▪ Brandon said the prices of on-campus care are comparable to the YMCA off campus.
- In comparing the 2009 and 2013 survey results, Brandon pointed out a change in the number of students “staying at home.” These numbers are lower in 2013 than what was reported in 2009.
- For undergraduate students, a larger number indicated that they were utilizing UCSD ECEC. Brandon said that this might be a result of subsidized care, which many of them qualify for.
  - Don explained that many of the graduate students, who are getting $18,000 to $30,000 in stipends, fall in a “gray area,” and don’t qualify for subsidized care.
  - Brandon elaborated further and explained that for a family of 3, the annual household income limit is $30,000. If your spouse does not work, they are assumed to be a “stay at home parent,” and you therefore do not qualify for subsidized care. For those who have spouses that do work, the annual household income usually exceeds the $30,000 limit.
- Roughly half the graduate students surveyed have children in full time care and the other half in half time care. A majority of the undergraduate parents reported that their children are in full time care. Brandon stated that when the graduate parents were asked about the half time versus the full time, the majority reported that the cost of full time care was too high.
- When asked why they were not utilizing campus care, 80% of graduate students indicated that the cost was too high and the waitlist to get in was too long.
  - Brandon shared that the waitlist to get into on-campus care is one year.
- For the undergraduate population, cost is a stronger driver (as to why they were not utilizing campus care).
- Brandon said that childcare is an issue that the committee needs to address.
  - Don pointed out that currently, both on-campus childcare locations sit in the Mesa housing area.
  - Rochelle stated that there are currently people living in Mesa, licensed and not licensed, who are offering child care.
  - Don said that these people compete with the two childcare centers and base their rates on the existing cost structures. Some charge $1000 to $1100. If he takes his daughter to a location in Clairemont, its $800.
  - Rochelle believes that Housing should get involved.
  - Kim suggested showing the survey results to Dean Barrett.
    - Don shared that the next step is to show these results to GSA.

Other Business
- Sophia shared that she spoke to Dean Barrett regarding bike theft and the possibility of getting a security camera. Currently, she has collected over 200 signatures. She shared that she has lost two bikes and has spoken to students who have been assaulted. There have also been reports about a homeless man wandering the area. She believes that getting a security camera will resolve all of these issues and prevent future incidents from occurring.
- She shared with the committee what Virginia Tech did to stop bike thefts on campus. Virginia Tech worked closely with their on campus police department and publicized that they were going start using police planted bikes. Just the publications alone stopped the bike thefts on campus.
- She said that Dean Barrett is very interested in the issue and the solutions suggested.
- Sophia also pointed out that there is only one RSO patrolling the area.
  - Mark explained that there used to be two but this committee decided that only one was needed, to cut costs. He said that this can definitely be reevaluated, if the committee wants.
- Sophia suggested surveying the residents to get input about the security camera and to share what the costs would be.
Meeting adjourned. The next meeting will be on Thursday, November 14, 2013 from 10am to 11am in the Rita Atkinson 3rd Floor Conference Room.
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Safety and Security Discussion
- Mark stated that one of the core values of HDH is to keep the communities safe and secure.
- Sophia shared that she moved to OMS about a year ago. After only living there a week, her bike was stolen. She started noticing other bike thefts (via broken locks on the floor), took pictures, and sent them to the Housing Office. She bought a second bike, which was stolen as well, even though it was chained to her car. She’s talked to several others who have had their bikes stolen multiple times. She reiterated that this is a recurring issue and can be fixed with security cameras in the parking structure. Sophia pointed out that the cameras would also be useful for catching “shady” activity.
- Sophia also shared that a homeless man has been living on the fourth floor of OMS and has been seen jumping onto the balconies of residents who are not home. These issues are why she decided to create the petition and collect signatures. She met with Dean Barrett, who stated that safety is definitely one of her concerns. She told Dean Barrett about Virginia Tech and their use of police planted bikes and Dean Barrett stated that she would be interested in doing something similar and working with the UC San Diego PD to make it happen. Sophia stated that the safety of the housing facilities should be made a priority.
- Mark stated that there used to be two RSOs patrolling the area. They worked 8pm to 6am, every day. It was this committee’s decision to reduce it to one, due to cost. The addition of OMS added 800 people plus parking.
  - Kim asked if the crime rate has increased since the committee reduced the RSO down to one.
    - Mark said that the police team can comment on that. The addition of the parking structure at OMS brought on new safety challenges. He stated that the number one issue is bike theft.
      - David confirmed that the most common theft is bikes.
      - Mark stated that this is a discussion that the committee needs to have because the Light Rail is coming. The residents need to have a safe community. This will be challenging because the facilities are so spread
out. The committee needs to discuss the best way to communicate with the residents too.
- Sophia stated that the thieves are getting creative. They have been unbolting racks and sliding bikes underneath.
- Mark introduced the guests from the UC San Diego Police Department: Patty Lea‘e, David Rose, and Roberto Meza.
  - Brandon asked if there are regions on campus that are hot spots.
    - David said yes. After pulling a year’s worth of research, OMS and Mesa have the highest reported incidents on campus.
  - Rochelle referred to Sophia’s comment about the homeless man and asked when the community is notified of incidents like that.
    - David stated that he is very surprised to hear about the homeless man. He will investigate and search for reports when he returns to the office.
    - Sophia said that she was made aware of the incident by the community advisor.
    - Taneshia asked if the community advisor reported it.
      - Sophia says she believes it was reported.
  - Rochelle asked at what point the community would be told about the incident.
    - David said that the Jean Clery Act requires the police put the information out there. With an incident like this, affecting multiple residents, a notice would definitely be put out ASAP. He shared that someone was just arrested at Central Mesa, thanks to a resident who noticed it and reported it. The cameras are one part of the security solution but the other parts include physical security and the types of locks being placed on the bikes. The final step in the security solution is the resident. He reiterated that the police need to hear from the residents whenever something appears out of place. He mentioned that the police have tried to start a neighborhood watch because it is one of the most effective strategies against crime. He stated that the residents are very valuable resources because they live there every day and see everything. If something is out of place, they will know it.
    - Rationalize the situation and if it still is out of place, call it in. He stated that the police are paid to look into things.
  - Michael said that the city police has an emergency and a business line. He asked if the UC San Diego police department is similar and which line should be used.
    - David said that the emergency number is always 911. For non-emergency calls, use 858-534-HELP. If a resident sees a burglary in action now, call 911.
  - Ruichen asked about the statistics regarding how many incidents are student committed crimes versus nonstudent committed crimes.
    - David said that the vast majority are committed by nonstudents. But he also stated that students committing the crimes are not as easily caught. Students know the campus and blend in. He would hope that this is a low number, but it is hard to say.
  - Brandon likes the neighborhood watch idea and asked how to coordinate it.
    - Patty stated that she’s worked with Mesa in the last couple of years to try and start one. There is always a lot of advertisements about it, but when she attends the meeting, nobody shows up. They’ve even tried to provide free food to try and get residents to show up to the meetings.
    - David said that another attempt could be made to revitalize it.
Don pointed out that there are two possible issues: (1) lack of a sense of community in housing and (2) communication within the community.

- Patty stated that when a neighborhood watch committee is established in the community, this committee consists of people who have a commitment to that community. At Mesa, this is difficult because there are so many changes (people moving in and out). She doesn’t believe that people don’t care, but believes that it’s hard to make a commitment if you are moving out soon. She encouraged the committee to spread the word about saying something, if you see something. She said that tips can be given anonymously too.
  - Rochelle suggested targeting student parents because they sometimes live there longer than the two years. She also suggested targeting the Coast apartments.
  - Don stated that he doesn’t believe everyone is informed of “who is a suspect.” He is concerned and urged caution because pointing out a suspect may turn into racial profiling. He’s already heard of a few cases of profiling.
- David said that the UC San Diego police department just sent two representatives to a training about the subconscious biases that currently exist. This is a training that he plans to bring back to the entire police department. He stated that if a neighborhood watch program is revisited, this training could be brought to that level as well.
- Brandon stated that the 200+ signatures collected on Sophia’s petition are a clear sign that it’s a good time to contact the community and get them involved. He suggested having a town hall to discuss it. He believes there is enough support to start something. He also suggested providing an incentive to those who serve on the neighborhood watch committee. He shared that at the University of Minnesota, those who participated received a rental discount.
  - Sophia pointed out that the petition called for cameras. She doesn’t know if the same 200 people would be interested in a neighborhood watch program.
- Ruichen stated that she’s received emails from the UC San Diego police department before and was wondering if they would be interested in sending something more regularly. She suggested getting a notice whenever cars or bikes are stolen to spread awareness.
  - David said that they could reach out to the HDH IT division to get a listserv. He is hesitant to email too much because they have received backlash from students who do not want to be emailed.
  - Kim suggested posting it online so that the community can access the information when they want to.
    - Mark said that this is very possible. He stated that the ideas presented thus far are actionable items that the committee could move on.
    - David stated that the UC San Diego police department website is updated daily with the crime activity on campus.
    - Mark said that this information can be pulled and posted where residents can easily access it. He asked the committee to think about where and how to spread the information to the community.
- Rochelle asked if a notification is sent whenever cars are stolen.
  - David said no. Typically, they wait until they notice a pattern before they send a notice.
- Rochelle suggested sending a notice anyway. Even a simple “hey, this happened, be on the lookout,” would be beneficial. She would prefer to hear directly from the police, than her neighbor. She also said that she thinks the police planted bikes are a terrific idea.
- David said that they are very interested and are going to contact Virginia Tech to get more information. He again reiterated the importance of reporting anything that you may notice to help with making arrests.
- Mark asked the committee to think about the surveillance cameras and asked what they thought about gates/arms in the parking structure.
  - Roberto stated that the UC San Diego police department has been looking at the infrastructure for about a year. He stated that video surveillance is helpful but the initial cost is big. Getting 4 to 6 cameras is in the 6 figures. He pointed out that having cameras does not stop theft, but does help determine if there is a pattern and aids in arrests. He shared that the Pangea Parking Structure has 76 cameras and the cost for the equipment and setup was about a half million dollars. He believes the first step to solving the problem is to find a way to figure out which cars should be going in and out of the parking structure.
  - Don asked if there has been talk about putting gates at the front of the community. He shared that aside from bike and car thefts, he’s heard about gas being stolen from cars too. He also mentioned that some community resources are being used by nonresidents (laundry rooms and parking spots). These are a result of the facility being so open. He doesn’t believe the cameras will solve everything because there will still be blind spots.
    - Roberto said that cameras are helpful against those that commit the crime more than once. The cameras can also capture license plates, as long as there is someone monitoring the footage. So, the committee will need to figure out who they would want to actively monitor it.
    - David pointed out that some of the roadways in Mesa and OMS connect with city roadways and there are rules associated with that. He said that they would have to find out if putting up a fence is possible. He shared that La Jolla Del Sol used to be open and not gated. There were lots of thefts prior to the gate going up. The suggestion to put up a gate came from the RSO on duty. Since then, the number of incidents has drastically gone down.
    - Mark said that it might be difficult because ECEC is located within the community and those parents would need access to the community. He stated that the committee will have to look at every level of this. He agrees that the parking structure issue needs to be addressed and is a big problem. The committee has an opportunity to really look at OMS and converse about how to make it safe and secure for the residents. He suggested maybe having only one exit open after hours and guest passes.
    - Don agreed and said that his previous school barricaded the exits and only left one access road open after hours.
    - Mark also pointed out that if the shuttle routes are reduced, more people will want to park at Mesa. It is a potential problem. He suggested the committee look at establishing control points.
    - David reminded the committee that these measures will not preventing theft and will instead displace it.
• Rochelle stated that the focus should be the entire area, not just the parking structure. If the focus is only on the parking structure, the issue will be pushed into Mesa.
  • Mark agreed. He does not want this to become a Mesa issue. He reiterated that the plan needs to address every level. The committee should think about whether or not they are interested in monitoring the front end of community, getting a fence, cameras, etc. Some of the solutions will cost no money and some will cost a lot of money. The committee will need to find a good balance that will address all the issues.
• Sophia shared that at her previous school, every time she entered the community residential area, her ID was collected (and returned upon exit). She suggested doing the same at Mesa and OMS.
  • Don said that this person would need benefits and raises over time. This may become very expensive. The committee should try to think of the lowest cost options. He also believes that people will find a way around this person and get in.
    o David pointed out that there will be people in the community who might push back against having a gate at Mesa and OMS.
    o Mark said that a lot can be done at no cost (ex: the spreading of information to the community). There needs to be a solution for the parking structure, whether it is a camera or another physical person. He will work with his staff to create a menu of options for the committee to review and discuss. He suggested having the Town Halls again next year to build synergy in the communities.
• Kim asked if adding an extra RSO would help.
  • David said there would be some improvement because there would be someone actively looking. That area is a lot to cover for one person. But, he encouraged the committee to look at the whole picture to find a solution. The RSO is just one part of it.
• Kim asked what happens next.
  • Mark stated that he will work with his team and the police department to get more information and bring some options back to the committee. There will be multiple scenarios from low cost to high cost. The committee can then discuss the options and make an informed decision.
• Kim asked why the security cost comes out of the housing budget.
  • Mark said that HDH is self-supporting and does not want to take services away from the campus. He reminded the committee that some of these items can be done at no cost, but some will have a cost.
  • Don said that this is also about empowering the residents. Often times he knows that they have something to say, but they don’t know who to say it to.
• Sophia asked if residents at Mesa and OMS pay for parking.
  • Mark explained that it is built into the rent.
• Rochelle asked if the neighborhood watch program can be started now, since it does not cost anything.
Mark said that he will talk this over with Rebecca and pass the information onto her.

Meeting adjourned. The next meeting will be on Thursday, November 21, 2013 from 10am to 11am in the Rita Atkinson 3rd Floor Conference Room.
Associated Residential Community Housing (ARCH) Advisory Committee  
November 21, 2013
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Apartment Flooring and Cabinet Samples – Lynn Anderson  
- Lynn showed the members a few different color combinations and asked which color palette they preferred: cool grays or warm wood/browns?  
  - Sophia asked which rooms in the apartment would have vinyl flooring.  
    ▪ Lynn said the kitchen, hallways, and living room. The cabinets in the kitchen will be refreshed so a laminate needs to be selected that will work with the floor. She said the bathroom will have its own flooring. This will aid with the transition from a public space to a private space. The bedrooms will have carpet tile.  
    ▪ Sophia pointed out that Mesa is very warm and brown so the inside should reflect the same warm color palette.  
  - Rafael asked what the Mesa apartments have now (in terms of cabinets).  
    ▪ Lynn said that most of the units have painted cabinets, but it depends on the unit.  
  - Sophia asked if the plan was to redo all the apartments at Mesa.  
    ▪ Mark said yes. He said two options could be provided – a warm unit and a cool one. The goal is to come up with a consistent standard.  
    ▪ Brandon prefers the browns over the cool colors.  
    ▪ Emily suggested a lighter wood floor.  
      ▪ Lynn said that could be done but she’d like to still stay in the medium tones family. If the floor is too light, you’ll see dirt. She explained that this would happen if the floor was too dark as well. In terms of the cabinets, if they are too dark, they’ll feel too heavy. A lighter cabinet would take away from the heaviness.  
    ▪ Sophia suggested the color of the cabinets be neutral and easy to clean.  
    ▪ Lisa suggested chocolate brown.  
      ▪ Lynn said she could bring a lot of different options to show the committee.

Security and Safety  
- Mark shared the "Safety and Security for Mesa" ideas list. He will continue to work with the police department to gather more information about what’s happening and where. Once he gets this information, he will share it with the committee. One of the challenges will be
determining the best way to share this information with the community. He suggested providing information in the newsletters and having a “safety tip of the month.” He also suggested a “lights and sirens” section, similar to the Guardian’s. This information could also be posted in common areas like the laundry rooms.

- Rafael suggested highlighting positives and not just the negatives.
- Emily suggested highlighting “see something, say something.”
- Sophia suggested providing a list of who to call.
- Rafael asked if UCSD Police had a texting option to report any activity.
  - Mark said he would find out and let the committee know.
- Shana suggested creating an informational magnet.
  - Sophia said that there is one already but there are so many phone numbers on it, making it hard to read.
  - Emily suggested having them available in various areas like the front desks of the leasing offices.
  - Brandon suggested having them in the laundry rooms.
    - Mark agreed and said that these phone numbers should be posted everywhere. He stated that there needs to be more signage in general (online and in the community rooms).
    - Emily suggested posting the community newsletters online via the ARCH website.

- Mark asked the committee if they wanted to consider eliminating non-resident and non-guest parking from the OMS parking structure. Currently, it is not heavily enforced.
  - Rochelle suggested having residents visit the leasing office to get a guest permit for all of their guests.
  - Sophia is unsure about eliminating it. She suggested having a weekend patrol or a place where residents can go to get a pass at any time of the day.
  - Mark said each apartment could be provided with one guest pass.
    - Rochelle said that Mesa residents get a regular parking pass and one guest pass. If you need another guest pass, you can check one out at the leasing office.
  - Brandon asked how often cars are ticketed.
    - Mark said not often.
  - Emily suggested surveying the community to get feedback about the permits.

- Mark asked the committee about having “you may under surveillance signs” in the parking structure.
  - Sophia suggested “Say hi, you’re on camera.”
  - Emily said that major museums have cameras with no film in them and that those are enough to deter people from theft.
  - Lisa thinks the sign is a great idea. She also suggested having "neighborhood watch" signs since that program is starting up again.

- Mark asked the committee if they would be interested in adding a bike rack in each apartment unit. He also asked the committee for thoughts on having a controlled bike storage area.
  - Rochelle thinks this is a really good option. She asked if this would be a physical room or a fenced area.
    - Mark said that either option is possible. One of the corners in the parking structure could be fenced off or a bike barn could be created. He also said that a common room could be converted into a bike storage area.

- Mark asked how the committee felt about supplementing the RSO program with students (as Community Service Officers).
- Rafael said that this is a great idea.
- Sophia suggested being thoughtful of how many will be needed and is concerned they might feel overwhelmed with their duties if enough aren’t hired.
- Mark said that RSOs can be moved over from UG temporarily during the summer as well.
  - Brandon asked how many Customer Service Officers are currently employed and how much would it cost to hire more.
    - Mark said that there are currently no Customer Service officers. He said that he could find out the costs and let the committee know.
- Mark said that HDH is already moving forward with the “no cost” safety solutions. For the ones that have a cost, he will gather the prices and bring them back to the committee for further discussion.

Meeting adjourned. The next meeting will be on Thursday, December 5, 2013 from 10am to 11am in the Rita Atkinson 3rd Floor Conference Room.
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Lynn showed the committee some floor and cabinet samples.
  - Sophia liked the pieces that looked less like wood.
  - Don liked the darker piece with the brownish stain. He felt it would complement the floor better.
  - Sophia suggested that the committee be provided with pictures of the units in their current state, to better understand why a change is needed and to have something to compare the new samples to.

Winter Quarter Meeting Schedule
  - Next Thursday, December 12, 2013 is the last meeting of the quarter. Mark asked the committee if the current time slot and day will still work.
    o Sophia said no and asked if another time slot could be selected.
  - Mark asked if the committee wanted to have the first meeting of Winter Quarter in the third week of January (week of January 20).
    o Emily said that would be fine because everyone will know their schedules by then.

New Housing Project
  - Mark announced that the Chancellor has given his stamp of approval to move forward with the new housing project. He asked the committee to start thinking about amenities and details that would be a value add. He said that there could be one bedroom apartments or studios (not two bedrooms because there are already so many of those). He suggested having places to gather, sit, have meetings, events, parties, and possibly even a daycare. The Chancellor will appoint a building advisory committee.
  - Sophia asked if a location had been chosen yet.
    o Mark said no. He said there are different locations available but there is a committee, appointed by the campus that will determine that.
- Sophia suggested having a town hall to get input from the community about what they like and don’t like about living on campus.
  - Mark suggested getting input from the students on the waitlist too.
  - Emily said having a town hall is a great idea but would be complicated to execute. She urged the members to talk to residents, people on the shuttle, etc. to get input as to what amenities are desired.
  - Mark said this is an opportunity to really think about what is important and valuable to the residents.

Safety and Security
- Mark spoke with Dean Barrett and let her know that this committee would be ready to present a plan by the end of Winter Quarter. He mentioned the recent incident on campus and stressed that safety and security is an important issue that needs to be addressed. Once the light rail comes, everything will change. He said that this is an opportunity to get ahead and come up with a comprehensive plan.
- Emily suggested that the committee discuss lighting.
  - Mark shared that the light walk happened last night and he is waiting for the report.
- Mark shared that UCSD Police is putting together an incident report that will be accessible to residents. He also asked them for the cost of adding an additional RSO. Once he gets the quote, he will let the committee know. The police are also looking at signage and working with legal counsel, with regard to the verbiage.
- Rebecca shared that a “no staff parking,” sign will be added to the OMS parking structure (floor 5 and 6). She said that there will now be UCSD staff present to let staff know that they cannot park there and that floors 5 and 6 are for guests of residents.
  - Mark shared that this issue was brought up by Parking and approached HDH because they want to resolve it.
  - Ted said that several residents have approached him and are confused about the stickers.
    - Rebecca explained that, according to parking, all residents will need to have a sticker to ride the shuttle. As explained in the recent email sent to residents, stickers can be picked up at specific times and dates. She is still waiting to hear back from Parking with regard to stickers for spouses.
    - Ted shared that some residents are confused about where to get the stickers and that not all housing staff is aware of the distribution schedule. He said that there is also concern regarding how guests and family members will be able to ride the shuttle if the resident is not present.
    - Rebecca shared that she met with Parking and Transportation two days prior, on Tuesday. Parking is trying to come up with a solution for guests and family members. There was talk about issuing a guest pass but the concern is that these could be handed off to anyone else. Once a solution is determined, she’ll let the committee know.
    - Don shared the same concern regarding family members not being able to ride the shuttles. He shared that families use the shuttles all the time and feels this shouldn’t be taken away.
    - Rebecca said that Parking was provided with a roster (which includes the resident and their spouse). Everyone on the roster can get a sticker. Children ride the shuttle for free and do not need a sticker.
- Cory believes the sticker solution is a bad idea. He believes the key issue is the parking structure, not the shuttles. Rarely will somebody park off campus and walk to the OMS shuttles. The solution should involve the parking structure, not the shuttles.
- Mark asked how GSA feels about the stickers.
  - Ted shared that they share the same concerns. There is a lot of confusion regarding how this entire process will work. Lots of questions and not enough answers. He believes this program is being rolled out too quickly.
  - Emily agreed. She believes this plan is a little premature. She posed the following question - what happens when a resident moves out and still has the sticker on their card? She agrees that it is better to regulate parking, not issue a sticker.
- Rochelle asked why the committee wasn’t told before the plan was rolled out.
  - Rebecca shared that HDH was told of the plan one day before the email was sent to the residents and the program was rolled out.
  - Mark said that Parking wanted to resolve the issue immediately.
- Rebecca shared that she could notify Parking to add people to the roster at any time. She also said that the sticker will change every year.
- Cory motioned to contact Parking to delay implementation of the shuttle sticker until Spring Quarter.
  - Taneshia seconded the motion.
  - Mark agreed and said that this will give the committee time to discuss the issue and make sure that it is in alignment with GSA and community.
  - Emily asked to be added to the GSA agenda to talk about this issue.
  - Motion approved with 11-0-0 vote.
- Rebecca asked if the “no staff parking” signs should still be posted.
  - Lisa asked how many parking spots there are approximately, on floors 5 and 6.
    - Mark said approximately 200 spots.
    - Sophia motioned to have HDH put up a “no staff parking” sign and to have Parking monitor who parks on those floors.
    - Motion approved with 10-0-1 vote.

Meeting adjourned at 11:05am. Next meeting is Thursday, December 12, 2013 from 10am to 11am in Rita Atkinson 3rd Floor Conference Room.