AGENDA

Meeting begun at 10:10 AM

Minutes:

Cory requested that meeting minutes be approved.

- There was a discussion about how to proceed and the fact that everyone should have reviewed them.
- Sophie made a motion to approve minutes.
- Madhura asked if there is something students should pay particular attention to.
- Cory explained that each person should look for comments quoted to see if they are accurate. Check for reasonableness and privacy.
- Cory asked Leticia to edit appeals to show only vote count and motions.
- Kim seconded motion.
- Sophie asked what would happen if they found a mistake later.
Undergrad:

Jana shared info about transfer undergrads:
- Relocated 138 to campus
- 65 remaining in West Mesa
- 212 remaining in North Mesa

Cory asked if we would be ready for demo of West Mesa in November.
- Jana shared that we will make it work and that financial incentives will be offered.
- Jana reminded everyone any expense would come from Undergrad Budget.

ATHENS (Accessibility Town Hall: Enabling New Solutions):

Sophia Discussed:
- Sophie and the Director of OSD will start a campus wide town hall quarterly for students, faculty and staff to discuss those that are not receiving appropriate care.
- Chemistry department collecting information, but will be looking into campus wide.
- Hoping to implement solutions in new housing.
  - E.g. Access to pools, gym equipment.
  - Would like to host one meeting at Mesa Nueva Winter or Spring Quarter for Grad students specifically.
- Grad student led town hall to address grad student needs.
- Will be advertised thru GSA, OSD and Chancellor's office.

ARCHAC Chairs:

The idea to change the seat from an undergraduate parent to any parent was discussed last meeting.
- Committee is aware they can make the recommendation.
- Cory explained there are 5 graduate student seats and one undergraduate parent seat. The amount of undergraduates is low. Undergraduates have different concerns than graduates, but it’s good to have a full committee. The chair is currently open.
- Sophia proposed an alternate parent aside from the undergraduate parent.
- Anindita asked about the typical undergraduate concerns
  - Cory stated they tend to have as much financial strain or more as they are full time students who are unable to work. From the past members, most have been single parents.
• Bob responded they also seem to be more disconnected from their support base. They don’t have the same population around them and their interactions aren’t the same.

• Mayra asked about adding a parent, instead of eliminating the undergrad parent.
  o Cory responded that can be possible. He explained changing number has an effect on quorum (staff vs students) and in the by-laws. Students are chosen to create a balance between ages, programs, parent status, and many more.

• Anindita asked if they can we open a poll to recruit undergraduate parents.
  o Cory responded they have been tried in the past.

• Jana clarified the 5 grad student seats are not restricted and are open to single, couple, parents etc.

• Jan proposed opening the position to any parent if the position wasn’t full.

• Anindita proposed changing it to a single parent.

• Cory reminded everyone of the 3 proposals/possibilities on the table:
  o If we can find someone, open it to someone else.
  o Have an alternate position with only one vote.
  o Change it to single parent.

• Ian motioned to vote: In the event that the undergraduate can’t fill the seat, it be open to a graduate parent.

• Kim seconded the motion
  o 8 approved, 1 denied, 0 abstained

• Cory will bring it up to Mark.

**Rate Issues:**

Cory opened the discussion of the Mesa Nueva rates. Bedrooms in Mesa Nueva (2 and 3 bedroom apartments) are all the same rate, including the master.

• Tatiana clarified the bathroom outside in the 2 bedroom is used by guests.

• Mayra stated they knew this would happen based on discussions at prior meetings

• Cory stated the issues is everyone pays $750. Asked the committee member if they would like to do anything about the issue and how they would like to go about it.

• Anindita believes it’s fair to readjust. She proposed it be divided by 4 so that the person in the master (in a 3 bedroom) pays 2 parts.

• Kim expressed that in her experience the master bedroom with the master bath is the most desirable and the most expensive. Every student or adult will pay more money for a master bath and bedroom. She feels the rates need to be adjusted as the people in those other rooms are going to be resenting and cause more problems for housing.

• Mayra stated they all agreed to these rental agreements knowing the layouts before construction started. She clarified these residents have signed rental agreements and asked about legal implications.
  o Cory stated the lease they sign states housing can reassign anyone to another unit.
    ▪ Jana clarified that is in the undergrad contract. She also stated housing has never implemented midyear rates.
• This was verified to be in the ARCH contract (Section 7a)
• Tatiana doesn’t think it should be double the rental rate, but agrees to have a different rental rate. She suggested changing the rates July 1st although legally housing could give a 60 day notice to increase the rates. She also suggested having a program or system for those that would like to switch to a smaller/larger room.
• Bob asked the committee to consider the master in the 2 bedroom apartments. This to avoid having different room rates for rooms and masters in a 2 or 3 bedroom apartment. He suggested changing rates in the change of occupancy, but not during the change of occupancy.
• Zihan explained there is a room that is even smaller in the 3 bedroom apartment layout. She suggested bedroom 1 (smallest) pay $650, bedroom 2 pay $750 and bedroom 3 (master) pay $850. The rates wouldn’t increase by much, but it would make it fair. She also suggested rates could be decided by square footage.
• Anindita asked if rental rates can be added to the waitlist portal.
  o Malia responded if it was decided to adjust rates by square footage housing would need to look at the other communities as they might not all be equal. In regards to the waitlist, if more categories were created it might make it more difficult for people to get into housing as it would complicate the offers.
• Cory clarified they previously didn’t make this distinction in room rates as there was no mechanism to differentiate the rates in the waitlist portal and they were pressed for time.
• Kim stated they didn’t have a layout in the previous discussion.
  o Maya stated they had the diagrams.
  o Cory clarified they did see the diagrams and it was recognized that the rates could be a potential problem.
• Anindita believes determining rates per square footage isn’t fair. As the value of the square footage in Coast is not the same as the value of the square footage in North Mesa.
• Malia clarified residents were assigned by the applicants date. The earliest applicants received the masters.
• Zihan asked if North Mesa had the opportunity to move to Mesa Nueva.
  o Cory responded North Mesa residents did have the opportunity to move to Mesa Nueva.
• Zihan asked if a family’s and singles could share an apartment to reduce cost for students.
  o Cory asked to move that discussion to another time.

Cory reminded the committee they are welcome to make the recommendations when rates are revisited for the upcoming year. And reminded them the discussion was about changing the rental rates now or before July 1st. Rates will be discussed next week to give members time to think.

Last Remarks

Ian reminded everyone that he sent a draft of the proposal discussed in the previous meeting. Proposal will be discussed at another meeting.

Mayra asked where the October 12th meeting would be held.
• Large conference room in Mesa Nueva.
• Jana stated next week’s agenda will include an update from the North Mesa Community meeting on the 12th.
Cory encouraged members to go to the community meeting.

There are 3 appeals that will wait until next week.

Meeting ended at 11:05. Next meeting will be October 13, 2017, at the Boardroom at 10 AM.
AGENDA

Meeting begun at 10:12 AM
Moved into closed session.

Appeal #1130
- Put on the table by Chair
  - Appeal decision: Denied
  - Votes:  0  approve – 7 deny – 1 abstain

Appeal #1142
- Put on the table by Chair
  - Appeal decision: Approved
  - Votes:  8 approve – 0 deny – 0 abstain
Appeal # 1143

- Put on the table by Chair
  - Appeal decision: Approved
  - Votes: 4 approve – 3 deny – 1 abstain
  - Cory voted to approve. Total: 5 approved.

Moves out of closed session

North Mesa:

Guest members introduce themselves.

Jana thanked guest residents and explained the proposal plan for relocated residents.

- Applies to all residents who have relocated or are relocating to other ARCH communities as a result of all three construction projects.
- Residents relocated/ing to other ARCH communities will maintain their current North Mesa base rate through the fiscal year 2017-18. After, they will be subject to only the rate increase portion of the community they live in at the time of the increase.
- Eligibility remains the same.
- Any truly unique cases will be addressed through individual conversation with resident impacted.
- Housing will continue to offer moving services.

Tatiana brought up two questions for members to think about while discussing the topic:
1. Do we have numbers of those who have moved off campus?
2. What about those who ask for an extension? Will they keep the same rate?

Tatiana leaves and proxies to Anindita.

- Cory asked how North Mesa residents who moved out of North Mesa 4 years ago would be compensated.
  o Jana responded they would be given a rent credit.
- Bob asked if Mark has been aware of the proposal.
  o Jana confirmed Mark has approved the proposal.
- Zihan recommended increasing the rental rate to the rates of the community they moved to. Prefers the rates remain the same for all the residents.
  o Jana explained that specific recommendation did not meet the commitment housing made to the North Mesa residents.
  o Cory stated rates for 2018-2019 were not yet.
  o Jana explained they have also done by dollar amounts.
  o Ramona explained last year was the first year Coast had a different rate as they try to get as close to the 3%.
  o Cory stated North Mesa is partly less expensive because rates have been kept lower during multiple budget increases.
  o Ramona explained North Mesa is less expensive because of the size and the age.
- Mayra stated the proposal is to keep the base rate as North Mesa and brought the discussion back to the proposed agreement.
- Anindita expressed her concern for those on the waitlist as they have to wait until North Mesa residents get relocated.
• Bob expressed they needed to address the proposal that was brought to the committee and was approved by Mark in support to what Mayra was discussing. He also expressed there are too many variable to try to look into the future.
• Jana stated this phase of construction has 144 residents that will benefit from the proposal.
  o Cory asked about the other phases.
  o Ramona explained North Mesa residents (4 years ago) were accommodated in North Mesa and West Mesa residents were accommodated in Central or South Mesa. Residents were moved to their preferences.
• Cory asked about those who moved to new units. Example: North Mesa to Mesa Nueva, or those who went from 2 bedrooms to 3 bedrooms.
• Zihan explained she had a friend who moved early on to OMS to avoid moving in a later time in her pregnancy, but would also need this assistance from the proposal.
  o Jana confirmed it would apply to them.
  o Bob explained it would apply to those affected by those relocating due to construction projects.
• Mayra expressed her support for the proposal that HDH put on the table. She feels the proposal should only be to the current residents, not those who moved out.
  o Burgundy asked the proposal cover all students to keep it equal, including those who moved out. She explained they are representing all of the North Mesa residents.
• Ramona re-explained that the residents that are left in North Mesa don’t have a place to go with the same rental rate.
• Jana explained it would apply to all of the residents who moved within the ARCH communities.
  o Burgundy asked about the residents who moved off campus and provided an example of a friend.
  o Jana re-stated the current proposal is to those who are currently living with ARCH.
  o Mayra stated the priority is to assist the residents of ARCH.
  o Burgundy feels it should include all residents, including those who moved out for a total of about 180.
  o Rosie responded she understand her overall point. However, in fairness to the residents the focus of the proposal should be for the residents that are still living with ARCH.
  o Malia explained that at specific moment all residents had a choice to relocate to another community. Those residents who moved out had a choice. She also explained she didn’t know if administratively they could offer the proposal to those that moved out.
  o Saeeem felt residents like himself made a choice under pressure. He stated he panicked and made a choice to move in fear of losing his housing. He feels the people who moved off campus should be considered.
  o Bob suggested considering solving the problem to get current resident into a good place. He explained including those who have moved out involves a great deal of unknowns, unknowns that won’t allow the proposal to move forward. He explained they can look at a different offer for those who moved out.

Cory confirmed the following:
1. Who gets applied this policy?
   a. Anyone who moved out after notice had been given about construction.
      i. All committee members agreed. No objections.
   b. Only applies only to those that are in HDH housing
      i. All committee members agreed. No objections.
2. What is the base rate?
   a. North Mesa base rate, rate will be subjected to the community they are living in once rent increases.
      i. All committee members agreed. No objections.
3. How rates get applied?
   a. Jana proposed they can agree that whatever increase (percentage, fix rate or etc) decided during each budget development will apply to those living there.
      i. All committee members agreed. No objections.
Cory asked if anyone in the committee thought the relocation should be like to like. For example 1 bedroom to 1 bedroom.

- Sameem agrees it’s an important issue to think about. That way residents don’t choose a more expensive room to keep a lower rate.

Cory asked about those who moved from a more expensive unit to a lower expensive unit?

- Jana explained any truly unique cases will be addressed through individual conversation with resident impacted.
- Bob expressed out of respect to the group, HDH, and the families that affects the committee should come to an agreement.

By unanimous consent, ARCHAC supports the proposal for North Mesa residents.

Jana will be reaching out to those that attended the North Mesa Community meeting.

Meeting adjourned around 11:35 AM. Next meeting will be on Friday 10/20/17 at 10 AM at the Barrett room.
Meeting date | time   10/20/2017 10:00 AM
Meeting location: Barrett Room, Administrative Office

**AGENDA**
Meeting begun at 10:12 AM

**Minutes:**
Cory requested that meeting minutes be approved.
- Minutes 9.29.17 and 10.6.17 approved unanimously by committee.

Guests stepped out.

**Appeal # 1128**
- Sophia motioned to approve to 1/15/2018
  - Mary Beth seconded the motion
    - Appeal decision: Approved, by unanimous consent
Appeal # 1148
- Tatiana motioned to approve to 12/31/2017
  - Sophia seconded the motion
    - Appeal decision: Approved
    - Votes: 8 approve – 0 deny – 1 abstain

Appeal # 1151
- Tatiana motioned to vote
  - Mary Beth seconded the motion
    - Appeal decision: Denied
    - Votes: 0 approve – 9 deny – 0 abstain

Appeal # 1153
- Mary Beth motioned to vote
  - Rosie seconded the motion
    - Appeal decision: Approved
    - Votes: 8 approve – deny – 1 abstain

Guests returned to the room

Undergrad:
- Jana shared info about transfer undergrads:
  - 22 remaining in West Mesa
    - schedule to be back to campus 10/30, leaving West Mesa empty
  - 134 remaining in North Mesa
- Jana shared about the incentive program
  - Cory specified the incentive was in the amount of $4,500 and stated HDH is doing anything they can to move them back to campus.

Mesa Nueva
Fire Drill
- Bob share there was a Simulated Emergency Evacuation Drill on 10/19/2017 at 7:00 am.
  - 1350 participated
  - Snack bags to the first resident were handed out, then snacks and drink were provided
  - 6 participants won a 72 hour emergency backpack kit
- Rosie stated she heard it on campus.
  - Malia explained it was a campus wide state mandated drill.
- Mary Beth shared she heard ear plugs were given out to residents.
Residents’ Concerns

- Sophia expressed some residents are concerned with the furniture and items in the Mesa Nueva units. They feel as items are easily breaking. Gave an example of the closet doors falling off.
  - Malia responded they are working on addressing the concerns and on an educational component to assist residents.
  - Malia also shared a survey was sent out to residents. One of the concerns brought up on the survey was the internet. The head of IT is working directly with residents who have internet concerns.
    - Cory asked if a copy of the report could be added to the Box.
      - Malia shared the report wasn’t finalized, but once the final report was created a copy could be placed in the Box.
    - Bob stated the survey averaged from 4.3-4.7 out of 5
  - Mary Beth shared how some of her students liked the game room by the laundry room.
  - Bob explained water pressure was another concern for residents. The contractor is looking into it.
    - Malia clarified the community is also water efficient. Explained informing residents it part of the educational component.

Mesa

Cory stated some sprinklers in Mesa were causing floods.
- Jana asked if details could be forwarded to HDH.

Construction Updates

Jana explained HDH met with North Mesa members on Wednesday night.
- Residents had additional requests:
  - Request documentation stating HDH would provide 6 month notice for future projects.
    - Campus council is working on it.
  - Request HDH further look at North Mesa resident who moved out of ARCH.
    - Explained this would be a financial impact that would need to be reviewed by the financial committee. HDH will provide more information about what numbers look like.
      - Bob stated they will be gathering data research over the next few weeks.

Shuttles:

- Bob explained:
  - North Mesa shuttle had a backlogs between 8:30-10 AM at the start of quarter. For that period of time there is now a 3rd shuttle that alleviates 99% of the problem with 10 min cycles rather than 15min. North Mesa Shuttle has stabilized.
  - South Mesa shuttle had a change in buses due to construction. A stopped had to be moved. He received emails about excessive time waits and residents getting left behind. HDH is monitor to see if people are left behind. Based on Transportation Services data, students are not left behind and shuttles are not filling up to 100% capacity.
  - Received feedback that there are excessive lines to return from campus at Rupertus Ln. stop.
    - Bob will speak with Transportation services next week.
- Marybeth asked for signage at grad division to avoid confusion.
  - Bob will relay the information back to Transportation. Overall Transportation is adaptable to housing needs.
- Cory asked about precise time about Athena circle.
  - Bob will try to get dates as that is not an HDH project.

Sophia has proxy for Tatiana. Anindita no longer has a vote.
• Burgundy expressed how some folks are taking Uber to campus. Based on her daily experience, she doesn’t see 12 min cycles.
  o Bob explained Malia and him are monitoring the shuttles while they conduct property walks and when they are getting to work.
• Burgundy shared she noticed at times two shuttles come at the same time. Thinks its traffic impacting it. Suggested HDH monitor from 4-6 PM.
  o Bob explained how often times shuttle driver’s try to get back on schedule by either speeding up or taking longer to leave from a stop. Shuttle drivers try to balance between staying on schedule and getting residents to the location they need to be. Bob has asked Transportation Services for data for their next meeting.
    ▪ Cory confirmed it was brought to them always bring it back to schedule when they are off schedule.
• Sophia also shared she’s seen shuttles coming back to back causing a full shuttle and an empty one right behind it. On another instance she experienced having to waiting 10 min while the bus was already full.
  o Cory explained drivers are required to take a break due to their union, rules and safety issues.
• Bob explained Transportation Services is very open to the feedback. They are trying to fine tune their operations so information is good for them.
• Ian shared shuttles sometimes don’t have a working GPS. He gave the example of a shuttle that was supposed to arrive in 4 min, but arrived in 2 min instead.
  o Cory explained they are not 100% accurate as they are calculated by velocity and position.
  o Ian shared one did not have a GPS as it wasn’t on the schedule.
  o Sophia expressed it was inaccurate.
  o Burgundy shared its more inaccurate than normal. Doesn’t know if it’s caused by construction or having more residents in the community.
    ▪ Cory will pass it along to Vale.
    ▪ Betty asked Cory to send the information to her.

**Playground**

Bob explained the fencing goes up around north Mesa on 11/13/2017.
• Estimated new opening date is 11/27/2017.

**Bedroom rate (2 and 3 bedrooms in Mesa Nueva)**

Cory explained what it would entail if committee decided to table the discussion.
• **Kim motion to table the discussion to make rate differentials until the budgets.**
  o Sophia seconded the motion
    ▪ Votes: 6 approve – deny – 2 abstain
    ▪ Decision: Tabled until the budgets
• Mayra asked when the budgets would be discussed.
  o Cory answer in December as there are a lot of topics that need to be discussed. Hoping committee can have budgets done for next year and also have a draft for 2 years from now.
• Mayra asked if they can receive data of families that live in Mesa Nueva.
• Ian shared Napolitano gave 3 million dollars to each campus to assist with housing.
  o Jana clarified it was given to the chancellors not to housing.
  o Cory asked if housing could reach out to the chancellor to see if there are plans for the money.
  o Jana shared one idea was emergency units.
  o Sophia shared there are plenty of issues and reasons they can use it for.

Meeting adjourned around 11:07 AM. Next meeting will be on Friday 10/20/17 at 10 AM at the Boardroom.
AGENDA
Meeting begun at 10:12 AM

Minutes:
Cory requested that meeting minutes be approved for 10/13/2017
  • Sophia motioned to vote to approve
    o Rosie motioned to second
      ▪ Approved by unanimous consent

Undergrad:
  • Jana shared info about transfer undergrads:
    o West Mesa undergraduates are still scheduled to be back to campus 10/30/17, leaving West Mesa empty.
    o North Mesa has slightly less than 134 undergraduates.
      ▪ Residents are still being mapped to move back to campus.
  • Sophia suggested giving leeway to those North Mesa Residents with families or disabilities that will be relocated.
Cory mentioned he’s received some complaints from Mesa Nueva students about Wi-Fi and internet at Mesa Nueva.

- Sophia asked for clarification on the situation.
- Tatiana explained:
  - Residents randomly loose Wi-Fi.
  - There’s a problem between wired vs wireless.
  - Can connect devices to Wi-Fi, but cannot communicate between devices.
    - Example: computer and laptop. Can’t print unless you physically connect.
- Betty added:
  - Wi-Fi gets slower due to multiple devices.
  - Residents can’t get their own internet service.
- Sophia asked if complaints were about residents needing connectivity due to a disability or internet malfunctions.
  - Tatiana responded a little bit of both and explained it’s an issue as residents (students) should be able to connect their devices for something such as printing.
- Burgundy explained she had to call and upgrade the basic package she has in Mesa and asked if Mesa Nueva residents can’t upgrade.
  - Sophia asked if residents were allowed to contract with a different carrier.
    - Several members responded no.
- Mark requested topic be tabled to see if he can get an IT representative to the meeting.

Funds

Cory reminded committee housing received 3 million dollars for purposes of housing and security.

- Mark clarified it was given to campus and housing had until 11/15/2017 to submit a proposal.
- Cory clarified it will go through a proses.
- Mark stated the money needs to be spent within 3 years and it’s a onetime fund.
- Sophia talked about security and bike thefts. She feels there are populations that need to be kept secured. Asked if housing and the committee were thinking about security or just housing needs.
  - Betty responded it’s about bringing resources, a model of response to help target anyone affected by a situation.
    - She reminded the committee it’s a one-time 3 million dollars and they needed to see how they can optimize and create improvements needed.
- Sophia asked if it’s specific to homelessness.
  - Betty clarified there’s different categories.
  - Sophia feels if it’s homelessness through the result of domestic violence their abuser might find them.
    - Betty responded that it’s already being considered.

Cory projected the proposal unto the screen

- Mark feels something sustainable will be better. Provided ideas.
  - Idea #1: Loan
    - Example: since financial doesn’t start until late September and students need an apartment early September, housing could offer a loan.
      - Loan would be offered and paid back over time.
  - Idea #2: Emergency housing
    - Example: having places for students that need housing.
- Mark clarified the items on the list would be prioritized and assigned a dollar amount.
- Betty explained they need to create a budget. During their next meeting they will prioritize and see how much is needed for each category.
- Mark clarified:
• They are trying to assign dollars to all.
• The idea is a broad base approach.
• Invited all to think of ideas that may be transferable.
• Shared all campus will come up with ideas and might be able to reprioritize.
• Anindita asked if housing had a rough idea of people that fall into bad situations.
  o Sophia mentioned most go unreported and it’s embarrassing. She has only meet 3 people since the beginning of the quarter. She expressed how she only shared her experience with housing as she was part of the committee.
  o Burgundy stated part of the problem is not knowing who to reach out.
  o Betty stated the contact person should be someone approachable.
• Sophia shared her experience with her business in Greece. Feels housing could build about 50 small rooms that could be used as emergency units and other purposes.

Tatiana stepped out of the meeting, no proxy.

- Anindita expressed how there are students that don’t get paid to do research, like STARS.
  o Marybeth clarified STARS comes with stipends and housing.
- Cory suggested expanding the suites in Nuevo East to something larger and using the space to accommodate housing insecurities.
- Mark stated nothing is set in stone as they are all ideas. They are scheduling another meeting.
- Betty asked ARCHAC to look through the proposal list and provide feedback during the weekend, before Mark D. (GSA president) has his next meeting.
  o Example: under proposition “#” it could include more of “X”

Cory said his goodbyes and acknowledged positive things housing has done.

Meeting adjourned around 10:58 AM. Next meeting will be on Friday 11/3/17 at 9:30 AM at the Barrett Room.